

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradenark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PA KENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					1117
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTRIVATION NO.
10/600,304	06/20/2003		Don B. Porter	SC-16-FG	7671
21122	7590	06/14/2004		EXAM	INER
GUBERNIC	K ASSOC	CIATES	MICHALSKY, GERALD A		
FRANKLIN I	L GUBERN	IICK			
2540 N RISIN	IG STAR T	TR .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TUCSON, A	Z 85745			3753	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,304	PORTER, DON B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gerald A. Michalsky	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> ·		
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or and definited depicts flot receive	<del></del>	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20 June 2003</u>.</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, line 3, "now U.S. Patent No. 6,585,004" should be inserted after "01/17/02".
 Appropriate correction is required.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-19 herein are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,585,004. The claims herein are of substantially the same scope as the listed claims of Porter '004. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald A. Michalsky
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753